

| 1. Summary information | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| School | Carclaze Primary School | | | | | | | |
| Academic Year | 2019/2020 | Total PP budget | £125000 | Date of most recent PP Review | 06/18 | | | |
| Total number of pupils | 402 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | | Date for next internal review of this strategy | 07/20 | | | |

| 2. Current attainment (KS2 2019, for a cohort of 9 PP children) | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Pupils eligible for PP (your school) | Pupils not eligible for PP | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths | 44% | 69% | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in reading | 56% | 80% | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in writing | 56% | 82% | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in maths | 67% | 86% | | | | | |
| 2b. Current attainment (KS1 2019, for a cohort of 12 PP children) | · | | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in reading | 25% | 83% | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in writing | 33% | 79% | | | | | |
| % Achieving expected or more in maths | 25% | 88% | | | | | |
| 2c. Current attainment (Year 1 phonics screening 2019, for a cohort of | 9 PP children) | | | | | | |
| % of children achieving the expected standard | 67% | 92% | | | | | |



| 2d. Current attainment (EYFS: Good Level of development, for a cohort of 10 PP children) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| % of children achieving GLD | 40% | 80% | | | |

| 3. Ba | 3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP) | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Acade | Academic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) | | | | | | | |
| Α. | Poor oral and written language skills being used in lessons and across the curriculum. | | | | | | | |
| В. | Poor comprehension skills across different genres and texts. | | | | | | | |
| C. | Poor number retention across Maths Year 6. | | | | | | | |
| Additi | Additional barriers (including issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates) | | | | | | | |
| D. | Lack of opportunities to access the wider community and experience cultural and social aspects the wider world. | | | | | | | |



| cademic year | 2019/2020 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| The three headings enable you to demonstrate how you are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies. | | | | | |

| i. Quality of teaching for all | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Action | Intended outcome | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? | | |



| All teachers to receive | All children | EYFS and Y1 phonics data from | SLT + English Lead to monitor | JD | Half Termly |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| vocabulary training from | across the | 2018/2019 show that although we | English lesson and feedback | | |
| English Lead. | school to speak, | were in line nationally with phonics, | specifically on vocabulary. | ZC/SP | English Subject Leader time |
| | write and | English and GLD - the Gap between | Book reviews to compare the | | every 2 weeks. |
| Targeted TAs to be trained | understand | advantage and disadvantaged was | progress and level of vocabulary | | |
| for intervention with | higher quality | still high. | within ALL books. | | Weekly drop-ins. |
| vocabulary. | vocabulary from | | | | |
| | across their | After using Novel Study for a year, | | | |
| Subject Knowledge | own and | we have begun to see an increase in | Feedback from TA's, pupils and | | |
| organisers developed to | previous year's | the quality of language being used in | books will look at the quality of | | |
| include specific vocabulary | curriculum. | English lessons. However, children | language. Book looks to focus TA | | |
| for each year group's topic | | now need to be able to apply subject | led groups. | | |
| and vocabulary to be taught | ALL children to | specific language to other topics and | | | |
| explicitly and repetitively | leave KS1 with | be able to use across the curriculum | Book looks to check vocabulary is | | |
| each year. | a higher level of | and through each year group. | being used in lessons. Pupil | | |
| | fluency in | | conferencing to check language | | |
| High quality lessons | reading. | | understanding. Learning walks to | | |
| delivered by teachers to | All children to | Education Endowment Foundation | monitor key vocabulary being | | |
| focus on vocabulary | leave KS2 with | <u>Toolkit</u> : | shared around the room. | | |
| exploration, definitions etc. | a higher level of | | | | |
| | comprehension | Early Years interventions + 5 months | Learning walks and book looks to | | |
| EYFS parent workshop | and fluency | | check the quality of vocabulary in | | |
| delivered by English and | skills in | Oral Language Interventions + 5 | books. Pupil conferencing | | |
| EYFS teachers focussing | Reading. | months | focusing on Vocabulary strategies | | |
| on language. | | | etc. | | |
| | | Parental Engagement + 3 months | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | | • |



| | Fupit Fremium Strategy | / Sell-Evaluation 2019 | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Novel study planning and | Historically, we have remained below | SLT + English Lead to monitor | JD | Termly book looks |
| delivery to focus on writing | Reading at the end of KS2. 2019 | Reading and English lessons and | | |
| and Reading. Teachers to | results were the first year above for | feedback specifically reading | ZC/SP | Weekly drop ins |
| explore comprehensive | KS2 but not ks1. | comprehension skills. Book | | |
| skills and reading ability | | reviews to check the coverage of | | Half termly focussed |
| during whole class | Evidence and analysis from PIRA | comprehensive skills being taught | | feedback |
| teaching. | and national test highlight a gap | | | |
| | between PP and non PP in | Pupil and TA conferencing | | |
| Timetabled comprehensive | comprehension in half of the year | focussing on Reading targets, | | |
| lessons focussing on | groups along with language and | love of reading and attitude | | |
| specific skills of novel study | structure/ | towards improving. | | |
| / a range of texts years 4-6. | | | | |
| | We pride ourselves on creating a | PIRA analysis to focus on | | |
| Year 2 /3 comprehension | love for Reading in our school and | comprehensive skills in each year | | |
| lesson during RWI for those | would like to continue to embed and | group and detailed planning to | | |
| who have completed RWI | promote this more children through | reflect strengths and weaknesses. | | |
| programme. | reading assemblies, competitions | | | |
| | etc. | | | |
| New staff to be trained in | | | | |
| RWI programme. | Education Endowment Foundation | | | |
| | <u>Toolkit</u> | | | |
| Targeted high-quality | | | | |
| intervention on reading | Reading comprehension Strategies: | | | |
| delivered by trained | + 6 months | | | |
| TA's/HLTA's. | | | | |
| | Metacognition + 7 months | | | |
| TA'S and HLTA's to target | | | | |
| children in the morning and | 1:1 tuition + 5 months | | | |
| focus on their | | | | |
| metacognition towards | | | | |
| Reading attitudes and | | | | |
| create a love of reading. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



| Total budgeted cost | £45,000 |
|---------------------|---------|
| | |

| ii. Targeted support | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|
| Action | Intended | What is the evidence and | How will you ensure it is | Staff lead | When will you review | |
| | outcome | rationale for this choice? | implemented well? | | implementation? | |



| | | | | | 1 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| Smaller 'Mixed | All children to receive | In school PUMA analysis | Learning walks focussed on Mastery | ТН | Maths lead has subject |
| ability' class sizes for | high quality teaching | highlighted a gap, especially | content within Maths lessons. | | leader time fortnightly to |
| Maths in Year 6. | in Maths and given the | within number with our current | | ZC/SP | monitor Maths impact |
| | opportunity to receive | Year 6 cohort. Smaller classes | Book looks focusing on outcomes | | |
| | immediate intervention | last year showed a significant | being achieved in lessons, content of | | PUMA data every term. |
| | / support within | close in the gap alongside Maths | lessons and levels of mastery being | | |
| | lessons. | KS2 data being above national average. To ensure this | achieved and access by all pupils. | | SATs scores every half term. |
| | | continues and has the same | Year 6 to use SATs Papers to analyse | | Learning walks and Book |
| | | impact, smaller mixed ability | progress, gaps in the attainment and | | looks throughout the year. |
| | | classes in Year 6 will continue | to plan from their findings. | | |
| | | with more support from TA's and | | | |
| | | teaching and learning lead. | Maths lead to analyse and compare | | |
| | | | data using in house PUMA tests. | | |
| Teachers and staff to | All children to have | Education Endowment | | | |
| receive 'Master | the Maths skills and | Foundation Toolkit | | | |
| Maths training' from | knowledge to apply | Smaller class sizes + 3 months | | | |
| Maths lead. | their understanding to | | | | |
| | the wider curriculum | Within-class attainment groups + | | | |
| | and outside world. | 3 months | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Mastery teaching styles + 5 | | | |
| | | months | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



| | 1 | | Sy / Sell evaluation 2015 | 1 | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| Headteacher and | The emotional | As a school we strongly believe | Feedback from adults who are running | JW | On-going support and |
| designated TA and | wellbeing and mental | that all children can have access | TIS intervention with children. | AW | feedback from adults and |
| teacher fully trained | health of all children is | to a wide curriculum which | | ZC/SP | children regarding children. |
| in Trauma informed | improved because | extends beyond Maths and | Staff feedback on well-being, | | |
| schools (TIS) – 10 | they can easily access | English. Every child should have | engagement and attitudes towards | | Weekly evaluations based |
| session workshops. | the right support when | the opportunity to learn in their | learning about individual/ whole class | | around CPOMS. |
| | they need it. | own way and at a pace that is | children. | | |
| All TA's and | | suitable to them, no matter what | | | Half termly reflections with |
| Teachers to receive | | the barrier. Within our school, we | | | TA's and designated adults |
| basic TIS training to | | have many vulnerable children | Observations of children at breaks, in | | in regards to impact and |
| support in classes- | | with social and emotional needs | lessons, lunchtimes afterschools etc | | interventions. |
| along with in school | | and believe that training | that focus on their wellbeing etc. | | |
| counsellor. | | designated adults they can | | | |
| | | support the children, not only | 'Check-ins' with children. | | |
| Designated TA's | | academically, but with the | | | |
| work individually with | | mental health and well-being | CPOMS monitoring. | | |
| children identified | | through targeted and supportive | | | |
| through the TIS | | interventions throughout the day. | Weekly emails that focus on TIS | | |
| assessments (ACE) | | Interventions can be structured | support for all staff. | | |
| | | or when needed. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Education Endowment | | | |
| | | Foundation Toolkit | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1:1 tuition + 5 months | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Social and emotional learning + | | | |
| | | 4 months. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |



| Total budgeted cost | £75,000 | |
|---------------------|---------|--|
| | | |

| Action | Intended | What is the evidence and | How will you ensure it is | Staff | When will you review | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|
| | outcome | rationale for this choice? | implemented well? | lead | implementation? | |
| All foundation subjects to have extra curriculum outcome that all children will receive by the time they leave school. E.g. All children will have visited an historic site by the end of KS2. | All children to have had the opportunity to experience activity that links to the curriculum and /or the wider curriculum. | At Carclaze we want all children to have the opportunity to experience a wide range of opportunities that focus on social, cultural, educational or physical aspects that go beyond the classroom and like to wider curriculum / community. | All subject leads to have an 'opportunity outcome for their subjects. Any disadvantaged children to be offered and help and support accessing all trips and monitored through staff. Pupil conferencing to focus on aspirations of subjects and feedback from trips and how it links to their learning. All children and families to be given time | Subject leads Year teachers CZ/SP | Once trips have been organised and implemented. | |
| End of KS2 residential to London to be open to all children in Year 6. | All children to have been given the opportunity to experience a culturally diverse city outside of Cornwall. | Cornwall remains one of the most deprived areas in England and many of our children have not had the opportunity to experience anything beyond the standard curriculum. | to 'pay for the residential. All PP children to be offered financial support towards the trips. Parents to be contacted to discuss worries etc. Pupil conferencing to discuss trip pre and prior. Teacher and PP lead to ensure a broad range of opportunities are being accessed during the trip. | | | |



| Previous Academic | Year | 2018/2019 | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| i. Quality of teachi | ng for all | | | - |
| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
| Staff training on developing oracy for pupils in EYS and KS1. Speech and Language lead to train and support TAs in KS1 to adopt and use the strategies with all children to ensure that speech and language is not a barrier. | A. Poor Oral language skills. (F are lower for PP eligible children than others.) Early identification of pupils eligible for PP in Reception and Nursery, who do not have the on entry level for speech and language, and KS1 pupils who require further S&L to secure greater outcomes by the end of KS1. As a result, pupils are well prepared for the next stage of their learning. | Low impact: 60% of Pupil Premium children achieved GLD in Speaking compared to 92% non- disadvantaged. Medium impact: Y1 phonics 67% disadvantaged (4 SEN did not achieve) met the standards and 92% of non-disadvantaged | Training did not include all staff, and this has now been addressed so Read Write Inc. to continue plus an additional focus on vocabulary with training from English Lead. Use of vocabulary in writing will be monitored | £18,741 |
| Introduction and monitoring of the PIRA tests. Benchmark – previous years' test Summer Term. Children to complete star tests for accelerated readers each half term. | B. % achieving expected or above in Reading. Identification of key children early on in September. Teachers to focus on PP children and ensuring they support children to get expected or above in reading across the school. | Medium impact: Reading results improved across the school, but disadvantaged pupils did not improve as much as non-disadvantaged. (3 SEN children also PP in Year 6) | New SENCO to monitor SEN PP children. Maths and English subject leaders to review Question Level analysis specifically for Disadvantaged pupils | £3000 |



| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the | Lessons learned | Cost |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | | success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | (and whether you will continue with this approach) | |
| Children receiving no parental comments in diaries to receive 1:1 reading with an adult daily. | B. % achieving expected or above in Reading at least in line with national average | 77% at end of Key Stage 2 this is above the National (73%) | The biggest impact has been the introduction of the Novel Study curriculum and Accelerated Reader. | £14,152 |
| HLTAs to lead reading interventions. | Identification of key children early on in September. Teachers to focus on PP children and | Low impact. Club could not be sustained due to other commitments. Reading breakfast started | Accelerated Reader has enabled us to track reading habits much more comprehensively than the reading diaries. These have been faded out | |
| Headteacher to run a greater depth reading club for Year 6 and a reading breakfast for Year 2 | ensuring they support children to get expected or above in reading across the school. | well but attendance dropped. | to reduce workload. Focus on EYFS children early. | |



| | | 017 | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| High quality interventions led by HLTAs or teachers. Teachers/ Head leading before school interventions. Exemplar Education used to support Year 4 / Year 5 children. | C. Progress in reading, writing and maths is below national comparisons. Identification of key children in reading, writing and maths and each teacher knows who they are. Teachers to complete intervention timetables and complete an action plan for next steps. | Medium impact: impact varied depending on who was leading the group. Attendance of before school interventions lower than expected. | Change of approach with whole school reading strategy. | £14,152 |
| After school support for Year 6 activities. Head carrying out greater depth reading club. Teaching and Learning Lead supporting Year 6 from January. Focus within lessons to push children on. | <u>D. Increase the number of pupil</u> premium high attainers. | Medium impact – after school clubs clashed with other after school activities. The introduction of the teaching and learning lead was more successful. | Teaching and Learning lead role still in place and focussed on improving quality first teaching. | £25,172 |

| iii. Other approaches | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--|
| Action | Intended outcome | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | |



| | | | r | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| To ensure that all children are | E. Children have access to all | Medium impact: Increased after school | Approach to continue with the addition | £5000 |
| able to attend curricular trips | aspects of school life. | provision. | of subject leaders including an ambition | |
| and residential trips by subsidising these. | | High impact: Disadvantaged children attending | for disadvantaged children in their | |
| | | residentials. | action plans. For example: geography; | |
| | | | all pupils to have taken part in a geographical field trip by the time they | |
| Parents approach school to | | High impact: use of school counsellor and | leave Carclaze. | £39,879 |
| access resources: | | learning mentor has reduced the number of | | |
| - Inclusion support (SENDCo) | | exclusions and increased children's focus on | Early pastoral support has increased | |
| | | learning. | readiness to learn and decreased | |
| - EWO | | | behaviour incidents. | |
| - Educational Psychologist | | | | |
| - Counselling | | | | |
| - Learning Mentor | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |